

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, July 9, 2020 1:51 PM

To: 'Mike Cox' <mcox@ndow.org>

Cc: [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Subject: Canyonland Summit Settlement

Hi Mike,

I'm circling back with you to carry on with a theme that emerged on our recent phone call. We informed you of the precedent set in the Canyonlands Summit proceedings regarding llama's carrying and communicating disease to wild sheep. The attached summary of the settlement with the DOI was the result of extensive documentation and presentation of research over four years from 1994-1997. Based on the findings and precedent of that rather extensive proceeding, DOI chose not to pursue banning llamas and having to defend the impending filing of a legal challenge by the llama industry. As we pointed out in our earlier conversations, there has been no change in the fundamentals of llama /wildlife epidemiology that would alter the merit of this settlement.

Based on these foundational facts and subsequent pen studies, testing, and clinical findings that underwrite the validity of those facts, the llama industry sees no reason to implement or provide additional testing to continue their historic presence in wild sheep ranges and furthermore, dismisses as out of hand, the Wild Sheep foundation's call for provisional testing to enter sheep ranges. The WSF lacks both basis and standing to make any such demand. Thank you for your engagement and consideration of our position.

Ad Hoc Committee for Llama Public Lands Access:

Stan Ebel-CO

Scott Woodruff-WY

Phil Nuechterlein-AK

[DOI CANYONLANDS NP LEGAL SETTLEMENT COMMUNICATION AGREEMENT](#)